IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

HAROLD PERKINS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 21-cv-1459-NJR

USP MARION and FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ROSENSTENGEL, Chief Judge:

Plaintiff Harold Perkins brought this action for deprivations of his constitutional rights pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). His Complaint (Doc. 1) alleged medical malpractice, negligence, and deliberate indifference during the Covid-19 pandemic. On May 6, 2022, his Complaint was dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim (Doc. 8). His allegations were vague and ambiguous. He also failed to identify a proper defendant (*Id.*). Perkins was granted leave to amend his Complaint (*Id.* at p. 5). On May 26, 2022, he was granted an extension to file an Amended Complaint (Doc. 10). His Amended Complaint was due June 24, 2022 (*Id.*). As of this date, Perkins has neither filed his Amended Complaint nor sought additional time to file an amended pleading.

The Court will not allow this matter to linger indefinitely. Accordingly, this entire action is **DISMISSED with prejudice**, based on Perkins's failure to comply with the Court's Order (Doc. 8) to file an Amended Complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Ladien v.* Page 1 of 2

Case 3:21-cv-01459-NJR Document 11 Filed 07/07/22 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #41

Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

This shall count as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. 1915(g).

If Perkins wishes to appeal this Order, he must file a notice of appeal with this

Court within thirty days of the entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. 4(a)(1)(A). If Perkins does

choose to appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the

outcome of the appeal. See Fed. R. App. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger,

547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999);

Lucien, 133 F.3d at 467. He must list each of the issues he intends to appeal in the notice

of appeal and his motion for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. See Fed. R. App. P.

24(a)(1)(C). A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion

must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of judgment, and this

28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is DIRECTED to close this case and enter judgment

accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 7, 2022

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL Chief U.S. District Judge

Mancy J. Moensteng

Page 2 of 2